

GUADALUPE RIVER WATERSHED MERCURY TMDL PROJECT
AGREEMENT NO. A2643G

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 4.1
DRAFT CONCEPTUAL MODEL REPORT

Prepared for

Santa Clara Valley Water District
5750 Almaden Expressway
San Jose, CA 95118-3614

Prepared by

 **TETRA TECH, INC.**

3746 Mt. Diablo Blvd., Suite 300
Lafayette, CA 94549-3681

October 2, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction.....	1-1
1.1	Role of the Conceptual Model in the Development of the Mercury TMDL.....	1-2
1.2	Guide to the Conceptual Model – Report Organization	1-4
2.0	Watershed Characterizations and Description of Mercury Sources	2-1
2.1	Watershed Description and System Characteristics.....	2-1
2.2	Description of Existing Mercury Data and Other Relevant Data.....	2-20
2.2	Wetland Vegetation	2-36
3.0	Summary of the Synoptic Survey Results – An Initial Step in Conceptual Model Development	3-1
3.1	Background Water Chemistry.....	3-1
3.2	Elevated Mercury Levels.....	3-3
3.3	Mercury Water Chemistry	3-4
3.4	Almaden Lake	3-5
4.0	Conceptual Model of Mercury Behavior in the Guadalupe River Watershed.....	4-1
4.1	Overview of Mercury Transport Processes.....	4-1
4.2	Overview of Mercury Transformation and Biological Uptake	4-2
4.3	Mercury Behavior in Guadalupe River Watershed Reservoirs: Knowns and Unknowns	4-6
4.4	Mercury Behavior in Creeks: Knowns and Unknowns.....	4-13
4.5	Mercury Behavior in Guadalupe River: Knowns and Unknowns	4-17
4.6	Mercury Bioaccumulation and Numeric TMDL Targets.....	4-20
5.0	Preliminary Source and Loading Estimates	5-1
5.1	Dry Weather Estimates	5-6
5.2	Wet Weather Estimates	5-7
5.3	Annual Variability of Mercury Loads at the USGS Gauge Station at St. Johns Street, San Jose	5-8

5.4 Uncertainty 5-10

5.5 Summary of Findings from Load Estimates..... 5-10

6.0 Summary and Strategy for Developing the Data Collection Plan 6-1

6.1 Mercury Sources and Loading 6-1

6.2 Mercury Production, Fate and Transport Process..... 6-2

6.3 Bioaccumulation 6-3

6.4 Data Collection Plans..... 6-4

7.0 References 7-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Reservoir Capacity and Drainage Area of Reservoirs of Guadalupe River System.....	2-6
Table 2-2	Size of Subwatersheds in Guadalupe River Watershed	2-7
Table 2-3	Past Sediment Removal Operations in Guadalupe River Watershed	2-13
Table 2-4	Production of Mercury from Major Mines in New Almaden Mining District.....	2-16
Table 2-5	Acreage of Existing Land Uses for the Guadalupe River Watershed	2-21
Table 2-6	Comparison of Dry and Wet Weather Water Samples for Mercury	2-21
Table 2-7	Mercury Concentrations in Stream Water Samples Draining Almaden Quicksilver County Park.....	2-24
Table 2-8	Existing Data on Mercury Concentrations in Sediment and Bank Soils in Guadalupe River Watershed.....	2-24
Table 2-9	Sampling Locations and Rationale	2-27
Table 2-10	Summary of Fish Mercury Measurements from Guadalupe River Watershed.....	2-35
Table 3-1	Mercury Species Concentrations.....	3-6
Table 4-1	Range of Total Mercury Concentrations.....	4-8
Table 4-2	Range of Unfiltered Methylmercury Concentrations	4-8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. General topography of Guadalupe River watershed.	2-2
Figure 2-2. Major waterbodies and subwatersheds of Guadalupe River system.	2-3
Figure 2-3. Schematic showing major flows in wet season along Guadalupe River system.	2-9
Figure 2-4. Schematic showing major flows during dry season along Guadalupe River system.	2-10
Figure 2-5. Location of potential erosion site along the tributaries to the Guadalupe River.	2-11
Figure 2-6. Example of sediment erosion and bank undercutting sites	2-12
Figure 2-7. Map of major mine-related features.	2-15
Figure 2-8. Location of exposed mine-wastes and seeps along the tributaries to the Guadalupe River.	2-17
Figure 2-9. Example of calcine deposits and other mine wastes in or near creeks.	2-18
Figure 2-10. Wet-weather sampling locations used in 2003 for Almaden Quicksilver County Park by SCPRD.	2-22
Figure 2-11. Schematic diagram of sampling locations. Numbers identify individual sampling stations, see Table 2-9.	2-26
Figure 2-12. Total mercury in reservoirs a) deep water and b) shallow water.	2-28
Figure 2-13. Methylmercury in reservoirs a) deep water and b) shallow water.	2-29

Figure 2-14. Total mercury in creek water samples..... 2-31

Figure 2-15. Methylmercury in creek water samples..... 2-32

Figure 2-16. Examples of wetland vegetation..... 2-36

Figure 3-1. Dissolved oxygen profiles in a) Almaden, b) Guadalupe,
c) Calero, and d) Lexington Reservoirs..... 3-2

Figure 3-2a. Concentrations of total methylmercury and total mercury in the
four reservoir-stream systems..... 3-7

Figure 3-2b. Concentrations of dissolved methylmercury and total dissolved
mercury in the four reservoir-stream systems. 3-8

Figure 3-2c. Concentrations of alkalinity and pH in the four reservoir-stream systems..... 3-9

Figure 3-2d. Concentrations of dissolved oxygen and carbon dioxide in the
four reservoir-stream systems..... 3-10

Figure 3-2e. Concentrations of dissolved organic carbon and sulfate in the
four reservoir-stream systems..... 3-11

Figure 3-2f. Concentrations of total phosphorous and conductivity in the
four reservoir-stream systems..... 3-12

Figure 3-2g. Water temperature and concentration of chloride in the
four reservoir-stream systems..... 3-13

Figure 4-1. Transport to reservoirs. 4-2

Figure 4-2. Creek/river processes at high flow. 4-3

Figure 4-3. Creek/river processes at low flow. 4-3

Figure 4-4. Accelerated weathering of mercury solids. 4-4

Figure 4-5. Mercury methylation reducing bacteria. 4-5

Figure 4-6. Uptake of sulfate-methylmercury..... 4-5

Figure 4-7. Food chain biomagnification of methylmercury..... 4-6

Figure 4-8. Hypothesized relationship between sediment mercury and
methylmercury concentrations in water..... 4-12

Figure 4-9. Dissolved methylmercury in creeks downstream of the reservoirs 4-16

Figure 4-10. Flow and Hg on Guadalupe River downstream of confluence
with Los Gatos Creek 4-18

Figure 4-11. Example relationship between fish size (total length) and mercury
concentration in muscle tissue. 4-22

Figure 5-1 Daily summer loads and concentrations (July 28 - August 1, 2003) 5-4

Figure 5-2 Estimated daily loads and concentrations during a large winter storm
(December 15-16, 2002) 5-5

Figure 5-3 Histograms 5-9